UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN DETROIT DIVISION

JOHN CHAMBERLAIN, Individually and on)	Civil Action No. 2:08-cv-13451-PDB-SDI
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,)	
)	CLASS ACTION
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	
)	
REDDY ICE HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	U.S. Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen

DEFENDANTS REDDY ICE HOLDINGS, INC., BRICK, AND JANUSEK'S SUPPLEMENT TO REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

As set forth in footnote 3 of Defendants Reddy Ice Holdings, Inc., William Brick, and Steven Janusek's ("Defendants") Reply Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("Reply Brief"), Defendants respectfully submit this Supplement to their Reply Brief.

- 1. On February 17, 2010, Defendants filed their Reply Brief. In the Reply Brief, Defendants included a footnote stating that "during the Arctic Glacier sentencing hearing on February 11, 2010, the DOJ prosecutor stated they have not found a nationwide conspiracy. He further stated the only conspiracy found involving Arctic Glacier was in southeastern Michigan. A transcript of the sentencing hearing is not yet available. Reddy will file a supplemental exhibit attaching the transcript of that hearing upon receipt." See, Reply at p. 5, fn. 3. (emphasis supplied).
- 2. Defendants have now obtained a copy of the transcript of the February 11, 2010 sentencing of Arctic Glacier, in the case styled *United States of America v. Arctic Glacier*

International, Inc., Criminal Action 09-149, pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division. Pursuant to footnote 3, Defendants have attached hereto as Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of the specific sections of the transcript referenced in footnote 3. The specific sections are: p. 100, lines 8-22, 24-25 (e.g., "...we have not found a nationwide conspiracy."); and p. 101, lines 1-8, 13-14, 18-24 (e.g., "In the case before you we found one. We found one in southeastern Michigan.").

Dated March 4, 2010.

RAYMOND W. HENNEY HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND **COHN LLP**

660 Woodward Avenue 2290 First National Building Detroit, MI 48226-3506 Telephone: 313/465-7410

313/465-7411 (fax)

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James R. Nelson__ JAMES R. NELSON **DLA PIPER LLP (US)** 1717 Main Street, Suite 4600 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214/743-4500

214/743-4545 (fax) jr.nelson@dlapiper.com

SAMUEL B. ISAACSON **DLA PIPER LLP (US)** 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: 312/368-4000 312/236-7516 (fax) samuel.isaacson@dlapiper.com

Counsel for Defendants Reddy Ice Holdings, Inc., William P. Brick and Steven J. Janusek

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of Defendants Reddy Ice Holdings, Inc. Brick, and Janusek's Supplement to Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint have been served on all parties of record via the Court's electronic case filing (ECF) system on this 4th day of March, 2010.

> /s/ James R. Nelson_ JAMES R. NELSON **DLA PIPER LLP (US)** 1717 Main Street, Suite 4600 Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 743-4500

Fax: (214) 743-4545 jr.nelson@dlapiper.com

EXHIBIT "A"

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, . Case Number 1:09-cr-149

Plaintiff, . Cincinnati, Ohio

. Thursday, February 11, 2010

. 10:00 a.m. Hearing

ARCTIC GLACIER

INTERNATIONAL, INC.

. Sentencing Hearing

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE HERMAN J. WEBER, SENIOR JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY: Kevin C. Culum, Esq. and Donald M. Lyon, Esq.

Antitrust Division

Carl B. Stokes United States Court House

14th Floor

801 W. Superior Avenue

Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1857

For the Defendant Arctic Glacier International, Inc:

John M. Majoras, Esq.

Jones Day

325 John H. McConnell Boulevard

Suite 600

Columbus, Ohio 43215-2673

For the Petitioners: David F. Axelrod, Esq.

Axelrod LLC

250 Civic Center Drive

Suite 500

Columbus, Ohio 43215

2

For the Victims Group - Martin McNulty and Gary Mowery:

Daniel Low, Esq. Kotchen & Low LLP

2300 M. Street NW, Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20037

Matthew S. Wild, Esq.

Levitt & Kaizer 148 E. 78th Street

New York, New York 10075

Also Present:

Hugh A. Adams (Deft's Corporate Rep.)

Gary Mowery

Laura Jensen (U.S. Probation Department)

S/A James Brennan (FBI)

Don Brown (Economist)

James R. Nelson, Esq. (Counsel for Reddy Ice)

James (Jay) Stautberg (Home City Ice Corporate Rep.)

Michael A. Roberts, Esq.

Ralph W. Kohnen, Esq. (Afternoon Session)

Law Clerk: Amy Peters Thomas, Esq.

Courtroom Clerk: Darlene Maury

Court Reporter: Mary Ann Ranz

810 Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse

100 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

- - -

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

100

believe that the probation allows us to impose a fine today. And if circumstances in the future prevent the payment of that, it will allow this Court, you in particular, to address the issue if a civil judgment is not being able to be paid because of the fine to the United States, which, my understanding at least, is not all of the concerns of Mr. Axelrod, but at least one of the concerns of Mr. Axelrod. THE COURT: What about the scope of the conspiracy? MR. CULUM: Your Honor, a criminal case is built on evidence. It's not built on smoke. The idea that there's a nationwide conspiracy, we have looked, we will continue to look, we have not found it. I reviewed the evidence. My boss, the chief of the Cleveland Field Office, has reviewed the evidence. And not only all the evidence -- his boss, the director of Criminal Enforcement in the Antitrust Division, he reviewed the evidence. The Deputy Assistant Attorney General for the Criminal Enforcement, he reviewed the evidence, and the Assistant Attorney General reviewed the evidence. All of the evidence -- we have not found a nationwide conspiracy. And though you're not specifically asking, I think that there was a bit of a misrepresentation or misunderstanding concerning my letter to Judge Borman.

was a nationwide investigation. We were looking all over the

Case 2:08-cv-13451-PDB-RSW Document 63 Filed 03/04/2010 Page 8 of 9 101 1 country to try to find antitrust conspiracy, and we continue 2 to look all over the country. In this case, in the case before you, we found one. 3 found one in southeastern Michigan. If we had found one where everyone was together in a smoke-filled room, I assure you we 5 would have charged it. We didn't find it. And we will keep 6 7 looking. And if for some reason we find it, I will let you 8 know. But I don't think I'm going to find it. 9 THE COURT: Well, you had --MR. CULUM: I'm sorry, but I get upset that -- the 10 11 implication I have not done my job, Mr. Lyon has not done his 12 job, anyone else at the Department has not done their job. 13 It's offensive to me and it is wrong. We have done our job 14 and we did not find a nationwide conspiracy. Apart from what they may want us to find, they talk about smoke, I know about 15 16 the smoke, I've looked to see if there's any burning fire, I 17 haven't found it. THE COURT: There would be -- that would be a 18 different conspiracy than you've charged. 19 20 MR. CULUM: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, the conspiracy that you've charged, and is the one that is before me, is the one in southeastern Detroit area, Michigan?

MR. CULUM: Correct, Your Honor.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And that -- you're exercising your

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Mary Ann Ranz, the undersigned, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

s/Mary Ann Ranz
Official Court Reporter